

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/714,008	11/14/2003	Ralph L. Piccinino JR.	85232DAN	1963
7:	7590 06/22/2004 EXA		INER	
Mark G. Bocchetti			RUTLEDGE, DELLA J	
Patent Legal Staff Eastman Kodak Company			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
343 State Street		2851		
Rochester, NY 14650-2201			DATE MAILED: 06/22/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			UM
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summany	10/714,008	PICCININO, RALPH L.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	D. Rutledge	2851	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the mail of the period by the Office later than three months after the mail of the period patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a resepty within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ The string This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ The string This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the string This action is accordance.	his action is non-final. vance except for formal matte	•	-
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdensity is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 5-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-4 and 9 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examination 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 15 March 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objection accepted or b)□ objection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0-Paper No(s)/Mail Date)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Corrected Drawings were received on 15 March 2004.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 4, line 28, "40" should be changed to "24".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1 and 6 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Freund et al. (US 6382850).

Freund et al. have a photographic processing system (see Figs. 1 and 10) comprising a conveying member (30) comprising an endless belt having a plurality of slots/openings (30'), the belt passes around a pair of rollers (100, 102); a vacuum chamber (33) draws and holds the photosensitive media (sheet 25) onto the conveying member (30); a processing solution is applied to the photosensitive media on the conveying member (30) by print head (36).

Application/Control Number: 10/714,008 Page 3

Art Unit: 2851

Claim R j ctions - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Freund et al. (US 6,382,850).

Freund et al. do not disclose whether the source for the vacuum is a pump. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have recognized that a pump is the most common and effective source for a obtaining a vacuum and would have been motivated to use a pump as the vacuum source.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 2 4 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art does not suggest or teach at least a wall separating the vacuum chamber into two sections and a baffle as claimed.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sanada et al. (US 6,241,401) have a photographic processing

Art Unit: 2851

system having a processing solution applicator and a vacuum chamber, but do not have a conveying member as claimed.

Response Data

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Rutledge whose telephone number is (571) 272-2127. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thurs, 6:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Russell Adams can be reached on (571) 272-2851. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D. Rutledge Primary Examiner Art Unit 2851

dr 6/17/2004